Privacy Impact Assessment in practice: Lessons from 20 years experience in Australasia

Nigel Waters, Principal, Pacific Privacy Consulting, Australia

Delivered to the Privacy Laws and Business 24th Annual International Privacy Conference July 11th-13th 2011, St. John's College, Cambridge, UK

- Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) around as a tool since 1990s
- Still only a recommendation in most jurisdictions (e.g. ICO 2009)
- Not the same as:
 - o Privacy by Design
 - Privacy compliance review/auditing
- Analogy with Environmental Impact Assessment similar strengths and weaknesses
- PIA comes in many different shapes, sizes and flavours, reflecting:
 - o a wide range of motives and objectives
 - o the identity, status and experience of both the client and the assessor
 - o the stage of the subject project at which the PIA is undertaken
 - o the involvement of third parties
 - o the development and approval process into which the PIA is inserted.
- Wide range of practitioners no professional standards or certification peer review
- Client-practitioner relationship critical inherent conflict of interest
- Using PIA to effect change all important follow-up, which depends on:
 - Publication or distribution
 - o Regulator follow-up
 - o Legislative process?
- Some examples:
 - o Online authentication for e-government in New Zealand
 - o Retention and linkage of Australian census data
 - The Australian financial reporting regime
 - o Individual identifiers for e-health in Australia
 - Hong Kong Smart Identity Card
- Conclusion: PIA has great potential, but as yet largely unrealised. Only a tool, not an end in itself to effect change must be used

This presentation is based on a chapter in a forthcoming book Wright, David, and Paul de Hert, Privacy Impact Assessment, Springer, Dordrecht, 2012 – the draft chapter is available on line at www.pacificprivacy.com.au